UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 06-13936
-VS-	Hon: AVERN COHN
FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC.,	
Defendant.	

ORDER APPOINTING EXPERT ADVISOR

This is a complex patent case. The patent-in-suit, U.S. Patent Number 5,406,260, covers a Network Security System for Detecting Removal of Electronic Equipment. Claim 1 of the patent has previously been found invalid, 318 F.Supp.2d 476 (E.D. Mich. 2008).

Now before the Court is a challenge to the validity of claims 14 and 17. A special master recommends that these claims be held invalid. Plaintiff objects to the recommendation.

An expert advisor appointed under the Court's inherent authority would be a valuable asset to the Court, given the complex nature of the objections. As explained in *Appointing Special Masters and Other Judicial Adjuncts*, published by the Academy of Court Appointed Masters:

1.5 Expert Advisor

It has long been considered within a court's inherent authority to engage the help of an expert advisor. An expert advisor can act as a judicial tutor, providing guidance on complex or specialized subjects. Patent cases and trade secret cases are two contexts in which the need for an expert advisor occasionally arises. When an advisor is utilized, the trial court conducts the trial with support from the advisor.

2:06-cv-13936-AC-RSW Doc # 221 Filed 10/31/11 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 12521

See also, Patent Case Management Judicial Guide, §8.1.2.4 - Special Master, Court

Appointed Expert or Confidential Advisor (Federal Judicial Center 2009).

Accordingly, the Court appoints

Professor Kim Winick University of Michigan

Electrical Engineering/Computer Science Dept.

4423 EECS Building

Ann Arbor, MI 48109

734-764-5203

Winick@umich.edu

as its expert advisor (advisor) to assist the Court in the research, analysis and drafting of

a decision on the validity of the patent.

The Court may consult with the advisor from time to time, including pretrial and trial,

ex parte, regarding both factual and legal issues involved in dealing with the special

master's recommendation.

The advisor shall keep detailed records of his time and expenses. He shall render

detailed monthly bills for his fees and expenses at the same rates ordinarily charged for his

consultant services, and such bills shall be paid promptly as follows: fifty (50%) percent by

defendant and fifty (50%) percent by plaintiff. The monthly bills shall be submitted directly

to counsel for the parties, who shall take responsibility for prompt payment by their

respective clients.

Objections, if any, to this order shall be filed within seven (7) days.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 31, 2011

S/Avern Cohn

AVERN COHN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was mailed to the attorneys of record

on this date, October 31, 2011, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

S/Julie Owens

Case Manager, (313) 234-5160